

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Wishington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,169	04/11/2001	Andrew G. Lee	PC10636ATMC	1529
75	90 01/15/2002			
Gregg C. Benson		EXAMINER		
Pfizer Inc. Patent Department, MS 4159			NGUYEN, HELEN	
			NOO I EN, HEEDIN	
Eastern Point Road Groton, CT 06340			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		_	1617	4
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2002	(.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/833,169	LEE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	_		
	Helen Nguyen	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	3 August 2001 .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) 7	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde					
Disp sition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	···· /	disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	•				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	zammer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		C 440(a) (d) aa (D			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	9 119(a)-(d) or (1).			
· _ ·	ate have been received				
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
Copies of the certified copies of the pri					
application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1617

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Olaims 1-14, drawn to a method of treating, classified in class 514, subclass 874.
 - II. Claims 15-36, drawn to a kit and a composition, classified in class 424, subclass 464.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group II and Group I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product such as Chinese herbs comprising Ginkgo, Damiana and Asian ginseng.

Art Unit: 1617

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If Applicants elect Group I or Group II, then the following elections of species are required:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: (i) formula I; (ii) formula III; (iii) formula IV; (iv) formula V; (v) formula VI; (vi) compounds in claim 6 (exemplary).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, formulas are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1617

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicants must elect one ultimate formula.

Claim 14 (exemplary) is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising disorders. Applicant is required under 35 J.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Art Unit: 1617

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicants must elect one ultimate disorder.

Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicant's agent to request an oral election was not made. See M.P.E.P. Sec. 812.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a nonelected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment

Art Unit: 1617

of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 605-1198. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's primary, Edward J. Webman can be reached at (703) 308-4432 or her supervisor, Minna Moezie can be reached at (703) 308-4612. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.



Art Unit: 1617

Helen Nguyen Patent Examiner

January 09, 2002

EDWARD J. IVEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500